IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING, VOL. 00, NO. 00, 2015

1

2

3

4

5

36

This is the author's version of an article that has been published in this journal. Changes were made to this version by the publisher prior to publication. The final version of record is available at 10.1109/TBME.2015.2470521

# A Review of Emerging Technologies for the Management of Diabetes Mellitus

### Konstantia Zarkogianni, *Member, IEEE*, Eleni Litsa, Konstantinos Mitsis, Po-Yen Wu, Chanchala D. Kaddi, Chih-Wen Cheng, *Member, IEEE*, May D. Wang, *Senior Member, IEEE*, and Konstantina S. Nikita<sup>\*</sup>, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—Objective: High prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DM) 6 along with the poor health outcomes and the escalated costs of 7 treatment and care poses the need to focus on prevention, early 8 detection and improved management of the disease. The aim of 9 10 this paper is to present and discuss the latest accomplishments in 11 sensors for glucose and lifestyle monitoring along with clinical deci-12 sion support systems (CDSSs) facilitating self-disease management 13 and supporting healthcare professionals in decision making. Methods: A critical literature review analysis is conducted focusing on 14 advances in: 1) sensors for physiological and lifestyle monitoring, 15 16 2) models and molecular biomarkers for predicting the onset and assessing the progress of DM, and 3) modeling and control methods 17 for regulating glucose levels. Results: Glucose and lifestyle sensing 18 19 technologies are continuously evolving with current research focusing on the development of noninvasive sensors for accurate glucose 20 21 monitoring. A wide range of modeling, classification, clustering, 22 and control approaches have been deployed for the development of 23 the CDSS for diabetes management. Sophisticated multiscale, multilevel modeling frameworks taking into account information from 24 25 behavioral down to molecular level are necessary to reveal correlations and patterns indicating the onset and evolution of DM. Con-26 clusion: Integration of data originating from sensor-based systems 27 28 and electronic health records combined with smart data analytics methods and powerful user centered approaches enable the shift 29 30 toward preventive, predictive, personalized, and participatory di-31 abetes care. Significance: The potential of sensing and predictive 32 modeling approaches toward improving diabetes management is highlighted and related challenges are identified. 33

Index Terms—Clinical decision support systems (CDSS),
 lifestyle monitoring, molecular data, multilevel modeling, sensors.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

IABETES mellitus (DM) is a group of metabolic diseases
that affect the body's ability to regulate blood glucose levels. In Type 1 DM (T1DM), the immune system attacks the insulin producing pancreatic cells resulting in absolute deficiency
of insulin secretion, while Type 2 DM (T2DM) is characterized

P.-Y. Wu is with the School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology.

C. D. Kaddi, C. Cheng, and M. D. Wang are with the Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University.

\*K. S. Nikita is with the Biomedical Simulations and Imaging Laboratory, and also the Radiocommunications Laboratory, National Technical University of Athens, Athens 15780, Greece (e-mail: knikita@ece.ntua.gr).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TBME.2015.2470521

by increased resistance of the body cells to insulin, which fre-42 quently coexists with limited insulin secretion. T2DM is often 43 progressed from prediabetes, which is classified into impaired 44 fasting glucose (IFG) and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT). In 45 the IFG condition, the fasting blood glucose is elevated above the 46 normal levels, while IGT is a prediabetic stage of dysglycemia. 47 Both IFG and IGT are associated with insulin resistance and 48 increased risk of cardiovascular disease [1]. 49

1

The prolonged elevated blood glucose levels, which is the 50 main characteristic of diabetes, may cause damage to large and 51 small blood vessels leading, in the long run, to mortality related 52 complications such as cardiovascular disease (CVD), neuropa-53 thy, retinopathy, and nephropathy. Moreover, increased blood 54 glucose levels may lead to several acute episodes such as ke-55 toacidosis and hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state. DM com-56 plications can be delayed or even prevented through intensive 57 glycemic control. The latter involves frequent glucose mea-58 surements and exogenous insulin administration in the case 59 of T1DM, while insulin treatment overdoses may cause hy-60 poglycemic episodes. The multitude of factors that influence 61 glucose metabolism make optimal glucose regulation in pa-62 tients with T1DM a very challenging task. In the case of T2DM, 63 glycemic control can be achieved through appropriate medica-64 tion treatment in combination with effective lifestyle changes in 65 terms of diet and physical activity. However, due to the asymp-66 tomatic nature of the disease at the early stages, T2DM is usually 67 diagnosed after the occurrence of complications. In particular, 68 although general blood-test-based guidelines have been estab-69 lished for the diagnosis of T2DM and prediabetes, there is a 70 large time delay between the onset and the diagnosis of the 71 disease [2]. 72

According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), in 73 2014, 387-million people worldwide suffered from DM, while 74 it is estimated that by 2035 this number will rise to 592 million. 75 The undiagnosed cases of DM reach up to 179 million. In 2014, 76 4.9-million deaths were attributed to DM, while the associated 77 annual cost in health expenditure was estimated at USD 612 78 billion dollars, which corresponded to 11% of total spending 79 in adults [3]. 80

The high prevalence of DM, and the rapidly growing number of patients with DM, along with the rising costs of care, the predictable number of deaths and medical errors, poses the need to move from a reactive to a preventive approach in diabetes care and to shift the emphasis from the disease to wellness. Rapid advancements in wireless sensing combined with smart data analytics can be used to facilitate personalized, predictive, 87

0018-9294 © 2015 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission.

Manuscript received May 18, 2015; revised July 10, 2015; accepted August 5, 2015. Asterisk indicates corresponding author.

K. Zarkogianni, E. Litsa, and K. Mitsis are with the Biomedical Simulations and Imaging Laboratory, National Technical University of Athens.

preventive, and participatory medicine approaches with the ultimate goal to optimize the management of DM through the
following multifold focus:

- identification of biomarkers which are strongly related
   with the onset and the progress of diabetes;
- 2) identification of individuals being at an increased risk of
  developing diabetes;
- 3) detection of diabetes at its early stages, in order to initiate
   appropriate treatment;
- 4) risk prediction of the incidence of long term diabetes com-plications enabling early intervention;
- 5) patients stratification facilitating the selection of optimaltreatment;
- 6) tight glycemic control enabled through patient's activeparticipation.

Rapid advancements in wireless sensing and smart devices 103 are creating a pervasive wireless environment that can address 104 a wide range of major diabetes-related challenges through inte-105 106 gration of different types of data acquired from heterogeneous sources. Sensor-based technologies for continuous glucose and 107 108 lifestyle monitoring with the ability to operate with a resolution time up to 5 and 1 min, respectively, provide important in-109 formation regarding the patient's glucose profile as a re-110 sult of treatment and lifestyle. Moreover, data from patient's 111 112 electronic health records (EHR), which include demographic, clinical, treatment, and medical history information, constitute 113 the patient's health profile. Genetic information such as partic-114 ular genes that are associated with the onset of T2DM gives ad-115 ditional insights about an individual's predisposition to T2DM 116 development [4]. High-throughput omic technologies such as 117 118 microarrays, next-generation sequencing (NGS), and mass spectrometry have led to the identification of molecular biomarkers 119 associated with the onset and progress of T2DM and have cre-120 ated new opportunities in diagnosing, monitoring, and managing 121 T2DM [5]. Common omic profiles include genomic, transcrip-122 tomic, epigenomic, proteomic, and metabolomic. 123

As more and more data are gathered, data processing and 124 interpretation become more crucial in order to turn acquired 125 data and information into knowledge toward supporting dia-126 betes decision making and action and providing powerful tools 127 for the patient and the clinician. Advanced modeling, control, 128 classification, and clustering methodologies applied on differ-129 ent combinations of datasets, have led to the development of 130 a range of clinical decision support systems (CDSSs). Glucose 131 prediction models for patients with T1DM are able to forecast 132 glucose profile, enabling early decision making in order to pre-133 vent the occurrence of large glucose excursions, while numerous 134 studies have addressed the design, development, and evaluation 135 of closed-loop glucose controllers able to provide estimations 136 of appropriate insulin infusion rates and premeal boluses [6]. 137 Moreover, several computer-based risk prediction models for 138 139 the incidence of long-term diabetes complications have been proposed and their potential to support clinical decision making 140 toward initiating appropriate treatment has been demonstrated 141 [7]–[9]. Models able to detect T2DM at its early stages and iden-142 tify people at an increased risk of developing the disease have 143 also been proposed. These are based on multilevel, multiscale 144

approaches taking into consideration several mechanisms at the 145 molecular, tissue, and organ levels that are known to contribute 146 to the physiological processes leading to the development of 147 T2DM. In addition, T2DM is highly heterogeneous in terms 148 of clinical and molecular profiles, and it is well known that 149 different patients respond differently to existing therapies [10]. 150 Hence, the integration of clinical and molecular profiles can pro-151 vide important information for selecting appropriate therapy and 152 monitoring the progression of the disease toward personalized 153 treatment. 154

The aforementioned CDSS constitute the key modules for 155 the development of integrated systems and services for diabetes 156 management, with the ultimate goal to empower patients toward 157 the self-management of their disease and to support healthcare 158 professionals in clinical decision making. Multiparametric mon-159 itoring systems combined with intelligent interoperable commu-160 nication platforms have been developed within the framework 161 of several EU-funded research projects, such as METABO [11], 162 INCA [12], Reaction [13], AP@home [14] and SMARTDIAB 163 [15]. These systems allow continuous glucose monitoring, con-164 text awareness, integrative risk assessment, as well as auto-165 mated closed-loop insulin delivery. In order to ensure safety, the 166 systems are usually equipped with remote alarms facilitating 167 expert's intervention upon cases of emergency [16]-[18]. 168

This paper focuses on describing and comparatively assess-169 ing state of the art and emerging technologies related to sen-170 sors and data analytics methodologies applied for personalized 171 diabetes management. The latest advances in sensors for moni-172 toring physiological and lifestyle-related parameters, which are 173 relevant to DM, are discussed. Moreover, CDSSs with the abil-174 ity to produce clinically meaningful outputs for the prevention, 175 detection, and management of T2DM are presented, along with 176 CDSS for the management of T1DM, including risk prediction 177 models for the incidence of long-term complications, glucose 178 prediction models and closed-loop glucose controllers. The po-179 tential of utilizing molecular data toward the development of 180 multilevel predictive models for DM is discussed, while future 181 research directions and challenges are highlighted. 182

#### II. SENSORS FOR GLUCOSE AND LIFESTYLE MONITORING 183

Glucose measurements are particularly important for arrang-184 ing meals and exercise and for adjusting insulin doses in insulin-185 treated patients. Moreover, the physician can utilize them in 186 order to assess the patient's status and adjust therapy properly. 187 The most widely used method for measuring blood glucose lev-188 els in patients with DM is the finger-stick procedure, which 189 requires a small amount of capillary blood obtained by pricking 190 one finger with a lancet. The main disadvantage of this method 191 is that it provides the current capillary blood glucose concen-192 tration without giving information about the glucose trend, and 193 thus, it can lead to wrong treatment decisions. 194

Recent advances have enabled the development of continuous glucose monitoring systems (CGMS), which can provide 196 information regarding the glucose levels every 1 or 5 min. The 197 CGMS are wearable devices consisting of a glucose sensor, a 198 transmitter, and a receiver/wireless monitor that can be worn 199

 TABLE I

 Technical Specifications and Accuracy of Commercially Available CGMS [20]

Device	Technology	Sensor Lifespan	Sensor Warm-Up	Calibration	Records Frequency	Accuracy	Reference
Dexcom Seven Plus (Dexcom)	Invasive	168 h	2 h	every 12 h	5 min	MARD: 16% MAD in hypoglycemia: 16 mg/dL	YSI blood glucose analyzer
Dexcom G4 Platinum (Dexcom)	Invasive	168 h	2 h	every 12 h	5 min	MARD: 13% MAD in hypoglycemia: 11 mg/dL	YSI blood glucose analyzer
Guardian Real-Time (Medtronic)	Invasive	72 h	2 h	every 12 h	5 min	MARD: 17.6% EGA (A+B): 99.6%	Arterial samples
FreeStyle Navigator (Abbott)	Invasive	120 h	10 h	calibration at 10 h, 12 h, 24 h and 72 h.	1 min	MARD: 12.8% MedARD: 9.3% EGA (A+B): 98.4%	YSI blood glucose analyzer
FreeStyle Navigator II (Abbott)	Invasive	120 h	10 h	calibration at 10 h, 12 h, 24 h and 72 h.	1 min		
HG1-c (C8 Medisensors)	Non Invasive(Raman spectroscopy)	-	-		5 min	MARD: 38 mg/dL MedARD: 30 mg/dL EGA (A+B): 92%	Blood glucose reference values
GlucoTrack (Integrity Applications Ltd.)	Non Invasive (thermal ultrasound and electromagnetic)	6 months (ear clip lifespan)	-	Every 6 months (for a new ear clip)		MARD: 29.9% MedARD : 19.9% EGA (A+B): 92%	Commercial glucose meter and glucose analyzer
Symphony (Echo Therapeutics Inc)	Prelude SkinPrep System	-	_		1 min	EGA (A+B): 96.9%	YSI 2300 STAT Plus glucose analyzer and commercial glucose meters

200 on the belt. The glucose readings are stored in a chip and can be subsequently downloaded and assessed by the physician or 201 even the patient, while newer devices are equipped with a dis-202 play to show in real time the glucose records, usually accom-203 panied with a graph, and the glucose trend. The majority of 204 the sensors embedded in the CGMS are invasive and mainly 205 subcutaneous sensors. Thus, the glucose records derived from 206 the subcutaneous space present a time lag, from 2 to 45 min 207 with a mean time 6.7 min, compared to the blood glucose val-208 ues. For this reason, the CGMS must be calibrated frequently 209 using the finger-stick procedure. Aiming at improving the reli-210 211 ability of the CGMS, the concept of the smart CGM (sCGM) sensor has been recently proposed, which consists of a cascade 212 213 of a commercial CGM sensor and three software modules for denoising, enhancement, and prediction of upcoming glucose 214 excursions, able to work in real time [19]. In addition, subcu-215 taneous sensors have limited life time and must be replaced 216 217 after a few days of use. Table I presents commercial CGMS along with information related to the technology adopted, the 218 sensors lifespan, the sensors warm up period, the calibration fre-219 quency, the records frequency, and the accuracy [20] assessed 220 in terms of numerical and clinical evaluation criteria. Numer-221 ical criteria provide a measure of the difference between the 222 measured and a reference glucose profile. These include mean 223 absolute deviation (MAD), mean absolute relative difference 224 (MARD), and median absolute relative difference (MedARD), 225 defined as 226

$$MAD = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \widehat{G_i} - G_i \right|$$
(1)

MARD = 
$$\frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left| \widehat{G_i} - G_i \right|}{G_i}$$
 (2)

MedARD = 
$$median_i \left\{ \frac{\left| \widehat{G_i} - G_i \right|}{G_i} \right\}$$
 (3)

where N is the number of glucose measurements,  $\hat{G}_i$  and  $G_i$ 227 represent the measured and the reference glucose levels, respec-228 tively. The reference glucose levels are usually measured by 229 means of Yellow Springs Instrument (YSI) blood glucose an-230 alyzers and blood glucose meters. Clinical evaluation criteria, 231 such as the Clarke error grid analysis (EGA) [21], assess the 232 clinical accuracy of the glucose measurements in terms of af-233 fecting decisions for regulating blood glucose levels. The EGA 234 provides the scatter plot of a reference glucose meter and the 235 glucose meter under evaluation, broken down into five zones 236 (A-E) representing different levels of hazard. The clinically 237 accepted zones are considered to be zones A and B. 238

The latest technological advances are focused on less invasive 239 techniques (e.g., microneedles), noninvasive techniques based 240 on optical methods (e.g., kromoscopy, Raman Spectroscopy, 241 NIR Spectroscopy, and Photoacoustic Spectroscopy) and trans-242 dermal methods (e.g., reverse iontophoresis and sonophoresis) 243 [22]. GlucoTrack by Integrity Applications utilizes an ear clip 244 and measures glucose levels using ultrasonic, electromagnetic, 245 and thermal technologies [23]. Abbott developed Freestyle Li-246 bre that can take glucose readings as many times a day as needed 247 through a patch worn on the back of the upper arm and does not 248 require finger-prick calibration [24]. MediWise's Glucowise is 249 a pain free glucose sensor that squeezes the skin between the 250 thumb and the forefinger and displays the reading in real time 251 on the screen [25]. Symphony by Echo Therapeutics uses a 252 transdermal sensor and a wireless transceiver in order to display 253 real-time glucose data [26]. CNoga Medical has developed a 254 device that uses skin color to diagnose high blood pressure and 255 measure glucose levels without the need to puncture the skin 256 [27]. Quick LLC introduced the iQuicklt Saliva Analyzer that 257 can measure glucose levels and transfer the results wirelessly us-258 ing saliva samples [28]. Google has announced the development 259 of smart contact lenses able to constantly measure glucose lev-260 els in tears, a release date has not yet been announced [29]. The 261 evolution over time of technologies applied for the development 262 of sensors and devices for glucose monitoring is shown in Fig. 1. 263



Fig. 1. Evolution of devices for glucose monitoring.

Other approaches are directed to the implementation of fully 264 implantable glucose sensors that are completely unobtrusive 265 to the patient's daily life and can be implanted in the human 266 body with a brief outpatient procedure. The majority of these 267 268 approaches are based on the use of the glucose oxidase enzyme in order to calculate the glucose concentration. An important 269 barrier in this technology is the decreased sensitivity of the 270 sensors due to the degradation of the enzyme. To address this 271 272 problem, a second enzyme has been added to eliminate one of the toxic byproducts of the reaction. Most preclinical results have 273 shown a lifetime of about 10-12 months. Preclinical studies 274 with the GlySens' fully implantable sensor, an oxygen-based 275 sensor with a dual-enzyme electrode technology, have shown 276 accurate readings for a period up to 18 months. The system 277 278 developed by Sensors for Medicine and Science, Inc., consists of a miniaturized sensor implanted into the subcutaneous space in 279 the wrist and operates on induced fluorescence changes. A very 280 important attribute of this device is that neither the indicator nor 281 the analyte are consumed. The fluorescent indicator molecule 282 and the analyte interact directly and reversibly. A human pilot 283 study showed 77.6% in the A zone and 19.2% in the B zone of 284 the EGA [21]. 285

The CGMS are usually integrated with insulin infusion pumps. The latest technology insulin pumps come with the bolus wizard feature, which provides suggestions of the premeal insulin boluses taking into account the current blood glucose record, the carb-insulin ratio and other information such as insulin sensitivity [20].

Lifestyle behavior especially in terms of diet and physical ac-292 tivity strongly affects the glucose metabolism. On-body sensors 293 such as pedometers (measure footsteps), accelerometers (mea-294 sure acceleration along a given axis), and heart rate monitors 295 are used to detect and quantify physical activity. These devices 296 297 can compute indirectly the energy expenditure based on their records (number of steps, movements, heart rate) and their ac-298 curacy depends on the kind of the activity and the sensor type. 299 Moreover, devices such as Garmin Vivofit 2, Jawbone Up 24, 300 Fitbit Flex, Basis Peak, BodyMedia LINK Armband, and With-301 ings Pulse O2 incorporate multiple sensors [30]–[35], which are 302 worn on the arm and are able to track steps, movement, sleep, 303 and calories burned. Misfit's Shine, on the other hand, can be 304



Fig. 2. Upper panel: Progress from healthy state to prediabetic state and T2DM. Types of models that apply in each state. Lower panel: Types of models for the management of T1DM.

worn anywhere on the body as it features a magnetic grip that 305 can be attached on the clothes [36] and detect movement of body 306 parts other than the arm. 307

#### III. CDSS FOR DIABETES MANAGEMENT

308

The onset and progress of DM are strongly affected by a 309 multitude of data including lifestyle, clinical, molecular, and 310 genetic data. Various modeling approaches along with different 311 combinations of data acquired from heterogeneous sources can 312 be used to provide clinically meaningful output. Taking into 313 account that the onset of T2DM can be delayed or even prevented 314 by applying effective lifestyle changes, risk prediction models 315 for the incidence of T2DM can raise awareness in individuals 316 at high risk. Models for the early diagnosis of T2DM are also 317 of paramount importance since usually there is a large delay 318 between the onset and the diagnosis of the disease. Prevention 319 in T1DM is not feasible but glucose prediction models and 320 closed-loop glucose controllers can be used to achieve optimal 321 glycemic control and improve the participation of the patient in 322 the care process. Risk prediction models for the incidence of 323 long-term diabetes complications enable patients' stratification, 324 thus provoking personalized treatment. Fig. 2 shows the various 325 types of models that apply to healthy, prediabetic, and T2DM 326 state. Models applied to T1DM management are also shown. 327



Fig. 3. Overview of the DM data management flow.

328 Heterogeneous data sources may be used to provide input to the aforementioned models and controllers (see Fig. 3). 329 The input space consists of data related to the patient's EHR, 330 lifestyle, glucose records, and molecular profile (e.g., genetic 331 and omics data). Lifestyle data usually include subjective dietary 332 333 and smoking information reported by the patient, while physical 334 activity is either recorded by a sensor or subjectively reported by the patient. Daily glucose profiles are recorded through CGMS 335 or measured by finger sticks. Genetic data include a set of genes 336 related with the onset of T2DM [4], [37], [38]. An overview of 337 the input data and the methodologies used toward the develop-338 ment of the aforementioned models and controllers, is presented 339 in Fig. 3 and discussed in the following sections in more detail. 340

#### 341 A. Models for T2DM Risk Prediction and Early Diagnosis

Primary prevention of T2DM aims at preventing the onset 342 of the disease via reducing the risk of an individual to develop 343 T2DM, while secondary prevention focuses on the early detec-344 tion of the disease and optimization of diabetes treatment plan 345 in order to control disease progression. Traditionally, the diag-346 nosis of T2DM and prediabetes relies on clinical tests such as 347 348 the glycosylated hemoglobin test, fasting plasma glucose test, and oral glucose tolerance test [39]. However, due to the asymp-349 350 tomatic nature of the disease in its early stages, there is a large delay between the onset and the diagnosis of T2DM (more than 351 ten years), which usually occurs after the incidence of compli-352 353 cations [40]. This poses a great need to develop computational tools and services with the ability to estimate the risk of the onset 354 and to early detect T2DM by applying multifactorial analysis. 355

Within this context, several attempts have focused on the development and the evaluation of risk prediction models [41]. The most commonly identified risk predictors, which have been 358 found as strongly correlated with the onset of T2DM and pro-359 vide input to this type of models, are: age, family history of 360 diabetes, body mass index, hypertension, waist circumference, 361 sex, ethnicity, fasting glucose level, glycosylated hemoglobin, 362 lipids, uric acid, or  $\gamma$ -glutamyltransferases, smoking status, and 363 physical activity [41], [48]. Logistic regression [49], Cox pro-364 portional hazards model [50], recursive partitioning [51], and 365 Weibull parametric survival model [52] are the most commonly 366 used methodologies for building these models. The predic-367 tion horizon varies from 5 to 15 years, while the reported c-368 statistics range from approximately 71–86%, with the latter be-369 ing achieved by applying the full Framingham seven-year risk 370 calculator, which is based on regression models [53]. 371

Since daily activity and health behavior are important fac-372 tors to predict the development of T2DM, inclusion of such 373 information acquired from a variety of sensors can improve the 374 performance of T2DM risk prediction models. Temporal asso-375 ciation rule mining is a new powerful methodology, which can 376 generate predictive rule-based models using the patient trajec-377 tories created from applying the association rule mining (ARM) 378 [54]–[56]. In the prediction of T2DM-related symptoms, a rule 379 indicates that, if a set of observed health-related events X has 380 occurred in the past  $T_x$  time period, then another set of T2DM 381 or indicators Y has a possibility p to occur in the following  $T_{u}$ 382 time span. 383

Moreover, taking into account that T2DM has genetic predisposition, genotype risk scores, which are presented in Section 385 IV, can provide powerful tools toward T2DM risk prediction. 386

In the area of models aiming at early diagnosis of T2DM, 387 the Finnish Diabetes Risk Score [57] has gained wide acceptance. However, this method is sensitive to human errors since 389

TABLE II CLASSIFICATION PERFORMANCE OF AI-BASED MODELS FOR T2DM DIAGNOSIS [42]

Model	Accuracy (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Reference
Modified FNN	80.07	84.38	74.00	[43]
Adaptive neurofuzzy inference system	98.14	98.58	96.97	[44]
SVM	94.00	94.00	93.00	[45]
Linear discriminant analysis and adaptive network-based fuzzy inference system	84.61	85.18	83.33	[46]
Multilayer FNN	91.53	91.19	92.42	[47]
ME	97.93	98.01	97.73	[47]
MME	99.17	99.43	98.48	[47]

it requires human intervention in deciding criteria and score. 390 In order to overcome this problem, several attempts have been 391 reported focusing on the application of statistic pattern recog-392 nition analysis and machine learning. Age, gender, body mass 393 394 index, waist-to-hip ratio, waist circumference, random blood sugar test results, fasting blood sugar test results, postplasma 395 blood, sugar tests, race/ethnicity, occupation, blood pressure 396 medication, cholesterol medication, gestational diabetes, high 397 blood pressure, high cholesterol, parental history of diabetes, 398 and exercise, have been identified as risk factors for the inci-399 400 dence of T2DM [40], and subsets of these constitute the input space to various models. Recent efforts based on artificial intel-401 ligence (AI) have produced promising results. 402

In particular, clustering techniques that make use of k-means, 403 mixture-of-Gaussians, self-organizing maps (SOM) and neural 404 405 gas (NG) have been applied for the diagnosis of T2DM, while support vector machines (SVM) and several types of neural net-406 works (NNs), such as multilayer, back-propagated, radial basis 407 function (RBF), general regression NNs, and neurofuzzy infer-408 ence systems have been used for classifying subjects in diabetics 409 and nondiabetics [40]. Moreover, methods based on mixture of 410 411 experts (ME), which combine the outputs of several classifiers for the calculation of the final decision, have been proposed in 412 order to enhance the performance achieved by a single classifier. 413 Modified ME (MME), which incorporate an assembly of expert 414 415 networks and a gate-ban, have proven to further increase the classification performance [40]. Table II summarizes the clas-416 sification performance of each of the aforementioned AI-based 417 models. 418

## 419 B. Risk Engines for Long-Term T1DM and T2DM420 Complications

Severe long-term mortality-related complications of DM such 421 422 as CVD, retinopathy, kidney disease, and neuropathy can be delayed or even prevented by early initiation of appropriate 423 treatment. Risk score calculators have great potential to provide 424 valuable support in clinical decision making by facilitating pa-425 tients' stratification. Diabetes risk engines are fed with medical 426 history data, clinical measurements, and environmental data and 427 provide the probability of a patient to develop specific long-term 428 429 diabetes complications. CVD and diabetic retinopathy constitute the most commonly target complications. Typical examples of 430 risk engines for diabetes complications include the United King-431 dom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Risk Engine [7], the 432 CDC/RTI Diabetes Cost Effective Model [8] and the Global Di-433 abetes Model (GDM) [9]. The most widely used diabetes risk 434 engines are those whose development is based on data collected 435 within the framework of large clinical trials with minimum dura-436 tion of 5 years, such as the Diabetes Control and Complications 437 Trial (DCCT) [68], the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions 438 and Complications (EDIC) study [69], the QRisk study [62], 439 the UKPDS study [7], and the EuroDiab study [70]. Table III 440 summarizes available risk engines, along with adopted method-441 ologies and datasets used for their development, as well as the 442 specific patient target group and complications. The diabetes 443 complications risk prediction models are usually based on sur-444 vival analysis, regression equations and Markov modeling [71]. 445 A different methodological framework, which is based on AI 446 techniques, has been utilized in [67] toward personalized risk 447 prediction of diabetic retinopathy development in patients with 448 T1DM. In particular, an FNN, a Classification and Regression 449 Tree (CART), and a wavelet NN have been comparatively as-450 sessed using data from the medical records of 55 T1DM patients. 451 The performance achieved by each model has been evaluated 452 in terms of sensitivity, False Positive Rate (FPR), accuracy, 453 specificity, Positive Predictive Value (PPV), Negative Predictive 454 Value (NPV), and False Discovery Rate (FDR) (see Fig. 4). The 455 increased discriminative ability of the wavelet NN along with 456 its superiority over the FNN and CART, which are less parame-457 terized, justifies the need to investigate the application of more 458 sophisticated techniques in order to obtain reliable risk scores. 459

#### C. Glucose Prediction Models for Patients With T1DM

460

Glucose metabolism in T1DM patients is strongly affected 461 by several exogenous and endogenous factors. In particular, en-462 vironmental factors such as nutrition, physical activity, patient's 463 psychological status, and overall lifestyle along with endoge-464 nous processes, such as circadian rhythms, play a crucial role in 465 glucose metabolism. Furthermore, intra- and interpatient vari-466 ability in response to therapy, makes the regulation of glucose 467 levels a very challenging task. Computational models able to 468 produce accurate and reliable estimations of future glucose pro-469 file in response to various stimuli can provide valuable tools 470 within the context of achieving tight glycemic control. Predicted 471 glucose profile is mainly used for producing early warnings of 472 the upcoming hypoglycemic/hyperglycemic episodes or for ad-473 justing insulin injections and insulin infusion rate in insulin-474 treated patients. Several efforts have been reported toward the 475 development of glucose prediction models, which are usually 476 based on either compartmental models (CMs) or data-driven 477 approaches. CMs represent fundamental glucoregulatory pro-478 cesses, taking advantage of the knowledge of the physiological 479 paths involved in the human metabolic process [72]. However, 480 their acceptance has been limited because they take into account 481 only a confined number of factors affecting glucose metabolism, 482 while the identification of their parameters requires clinical mea-483 surements, which are not typically available in clinical settings. 484

Risk Assessment Model	Data from Reference Study	Number of Patients and Type of Diabetes	Target Complications	Reference
Cox regression model	DCCT/EDIC	1441 T1DM patients	CVD	[59]
Cox regression model	DCCT/EDIC	1441 T1DM patients	Atherosclerotic occlusion in peripheral vascular disease	[60]
Tobit survival regression model	DCCT/EDIC	1441 T1DM patients	CAC	[61]
Cox proportional hazard model and fractional polynomials	QRisk	1280000 T2DM patients	CVD	[62]
Multivariate logistic regression	UKPDS	5102 T2DM patients	Fatal and nonfatal MI and stroke	[63]
Survival analysis	UKPDS	5.102 T2DM patients	Stroke	[64]
Weibull proportional hazard regression model	UKPDS	5102 T2DM patients	Death, MI, stroke, heart failure, amputation, renal failure, diabetic eye disease	[65]
Markov modeling	UKPDS	5102 T2DM patients	Nephropathy, neuropathy, retinopathy, CHD, and stroke	[8]
Logistic regression	EuroDiab	1115 T1DM patients	Microalbuminuria	[66]
CART, FNN, wavelet NN	EuroDiab	55 T1DM patients	Retinopathy	[67]

 TABLE III

 Risk Prediction Models for Long-Term Diabetes Complications [58]

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. 4 Performance evaluation of the FNN, CART, and wavelet NN-based risk prediction models for the incidence of diabetic retinopathy [67].

Moreover, the lack of personalization capabilities constitutes amajor drawback [58].

In order to overcome the aforementioned limitations, the use 487 of data-driven techniques that apply pattern recognition meth-488 ods to capture the metabolic behavior of a patient with T1DM 489 has been proposed. Several glucose prediction models have 490 been developed based on Volterra series models, time-series 491 analysis, and machine learning. Particularly, the application of 492 Volterra models for the simulation of glucose-insulin dynam-493 ics has demonstrated good performance in the absence of noise 494 [73], [74]. Moreover, Autoregressive (ARX) and Box-Jenkins 495 models of various orders, identified based on data generated 496 from a simulated physiological model, have achieved good pre-497 diction performance [75]. In addition, the potential of utilizing 498 ARX models with time-varying parameters has been investi-499 gated [76]. Several types of Artificial NNs such as MLP [77], 500 RNN [78], RBF [79], Wavelet NNs [80], and neurofuzzy tech-501 niques [81] have been successfully applied for the simulation 502 of glucose metabolism. Furthermore, hybrid glucose prediction 503 models based on the combined use of CM and data driven ap-504 proaches such as RNN [78], support vector regression (SVR) 505 [82], and SOM [83] have produced promising results. Table IV 506 summarizes glucose prediction models of the literature, based 507 on AI and autoregressive methods along with their input space, 508 509 and reported accuracy. CGMS data, blood glucose readings,

Q1

insulin dosages, and lifestyle data in terms of ingested carbohydrates, physical activity and stress, are the most commonly usedinput factors. 512

Although a direct and fair comparison of models' predic-513 tive performance is not possible due to the different testing 514 dataset, input space, and evaluation methodology used, several 515 important conclusions can be drawn. In particular, as it is ex-516 pected, the application of a more informative input space results 517 in better predictive performance. In addition, as the prediction 518 horizon (PH) increases, the models' predictive performance de-519 teriorates. Moreover, the use of hybrid models for the simulation 520 of glucose-insulin metabolism has achieved the lowest RMSE 521 values justifying, thus, their superiority over other approaches. 522 When only CGMS data are used to feed the models (shown in 523 bold in Table IV), AI-based models achieve higher performance 524 than autoregressive models (RMSE equal to 12.29 mg/dL-525 achieved by SOM- against 18.78 mg/dL), demonstrating thus, 526 the need of applying more sophisticated techniques in order to 527 capture the metabolic behavior of a patient with T1DM. 528

#### D. Closed-Loop Glucose Controllers

Closing the loop between a CGMS and an insulin infusion 530 pump through reliable, accurate, and effective glucose control 531 algorithms, has become one of the most important research 532 challenges in T1DM management. The problem of maintain-533 ing blood glucose levels within an acceptable range is particu-534 larly complex in patients with T1DM, since various exogenous 535 parameters strongly affect the glucose metabolism, while the 536 ever-changing and unpredictable nature of glucose metabolism 537 leads to intra- and interpatient variability. Therefore, the glucose 538 controller should be able to provide personalized and adaptive 539 treatment recommendations. The majority of approaches ap-540 plied toward the development of glucose controllers [6] are 541 based on either proportional integral derivative (PID) control 542 [84], [85] or model predictive control (MPC) [86]–[96]. MPC-543 based glucose controllers have gained wider acceptance due to 544 the MPC's ability to handle 1) high nonlinearities in glucose-545 insulin metabolism, caused by saturation and inhibition effects 546

Model	Input Space	No. of T1DM Patients (Monitoring Period)	Evaluation Results
Multilayer FNN [77]	CGMS data, blood glucose readings, insulin dosage, carbohydrate intake, hyperglycemic and hypoglycemic symptoms, lifestyle (activities and events), emotional states	18 (3–9 days)	PH (min)/ MAD (%): 50/6.7, 120/14.5, 180/18.9
FNN with two hidden layers [98]	CGMS data	9 (12 days) 6 (2 days)	PH (min)/RMSE(mg/dl): 15/10, 30/18,45/27
RBF NN [79]	Blood glucose readings, insulin dosage, food intake, stress, level of exercise	1 (77 days)	Interval/RMSE ( <i>mg/dl</i> ): morning/1.49, afternoon/0.92, evening/0.67, night/0.21
Wavelet NN [80]	Blood glucose readings, insulin dosage, food intake, stress, level of exercise	1 (77 days)	Interval / RMSE ( <i>mg/dl</i> ): morning/0.81 afternoon/0.63, evening/0.60, night/0.30
Neurofuzzy (applying wavelets as activation functions) [81]	CGMS data, physical activity data from sensor	6 (7–15 days)	PH ( <i>min</i> )/ RMSE ( <i>mg/dl</i> ): 15/14.42, 30/20.20, 45/24.79, 60/28.49
SOM [83]	CGMS data physical activity data from sensor	10 (6 days)	PH (min)/ RMSE(mg/dl):30/11 42 60/19 58 120/31 00
SOM [83]	CGMS data	10 (6  days)	PH ( <i>min</i> )/ RMSE( <i>mg</i> / <i>d</i> ): 30/12.29, 60/21.06 120/33.68
SVR [82]	CGMS data	15 (5-22 days)	PH (min)/ RMSE(mg/dl):30/15.29, 60/24.19, 120/33.04
Hybrid model based on the combined use of CMs and RNN [78]	CGMS data, insulin infusion rates, carbohydrates ingested	9 (10 days)	PH (min)/ RMSE (mg/dl): 30/18.34
Hybrid model based on the combined use of CMs and SVR [82]	CGMS data, insulin dosages, carbohydrates ingested, physical activity data from sensor, time	15 (5–22 days)	PH (min)/ RMSE (mg/dl): 15/5.21, 30/6.03, 60/7.14, 120/7.62
Hybrid model based on the combined use of CMs and SOM [83]	CGMS data, insulin infusion rates, carbohydrates ingested	12 (10 days)	PH (min)/ RMSE (mg/dl): 30/14.10, 60/23.19
Autoregressive models with time varying parameters [76]	CGMS data	28 (2 days)	PH (min)/ RMSE (mg/dl): 30/18.78

TABLE IV GLUCOSE PREDICTION MODELS BASED ON AI AND AUTOREGRESSIVE MODELS WITH TIME VARYING PARAMETERS [97]

evidenced by chemical substrates and hormones involved in en-547 zyme dynamics and hormonal control effects, 2) time delays 548 in subcutaneous-subcutaneous (sc-sc) route due to the delayed 549 effect of infused subcutaneous insulin and the glucose diffusion 550 from the blood to the subcutaneous space, and 3) inaccura-551 cies in subcutaneous glucose measurements. MPC incorporates 552 glucose prediction models, described in Section III-C, which 553 produce estimations of the future glucose profile. The estimated 554 glucose profile is compared with the desired one and the ob-555 tained deviations are inserted into a cost function in order for 556 the latter to be minimized toward producing advice on insulin 557 infusion rates. The efficiency of the MPC controllers is strongly 558 dependent upon the used glucose prediction model, the cost 559 function and its tuning. Several attempts have been made to-560 ward the development of glucose controllers based on nonlinear 561 model-predictive control (NMPC), and the effectiveness of the 562 NMPC over the linear MPC has been studied and justified [92], 563 [96], [98]. Moreover, the mathematical formulation of the cost 564 function is of particular importance. Traditionally the cost func-565 tion includes the sum of the squared differences of the glucose 566 predictions from the desired glucose values and of the estimated 567 insulin changes 568

$$J = \Gamma_e \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} \left( y(t+i) - r \right)^2 + \Gamma_u \sum_{j=0}^{N_c} \Delta u^2(t+j)$$
(4)

where y and r represent the estimated and the desired glucose values, respectively, while u is the insulin infusion rate,  $N_p$  is the prediction horizon,  $N_c$  is the control horizon, and  $\Gamma_e$  and  $\Gamma_u$ are the prediction and control weighting coefficients, respectively. However, taking into account that the goal of a closed-loop glucose controller is to maintain glucose levels 574 within an acceptable range, the addition of appropriate terms 575 penalizing the cost function whenever future glucose predic-576 tions are outside a predefined range [98], [99], can improve 577 control performance. Another important issue toward the im-578 plementation of MPC is its tuning. A set of parameters in the 579 cost function influence the controller's performance and stabil-580 ity and their values are usually adjusted either via trial and error 581 procedures or by following general tuning guidelines [72]. How-582 ever, trial and error is a rather cumbersome task while systematic 583 approaches cannot be implemented online because the glucose 584 metabolism is subject to severe disturbances and changing op-585 erating conditions. In order to overcome this problem, online 586 tuning has been proposed [98]. 587

An exemplar adaptive glucose control algorithm (Insulin In-588 fusion Advisory System—IIAS) addressing the aforementioned 589 issues is presented in [98]. The system is able to adapt over 590 time through continuously updating the parameters of both the 591 glucose-insulin metabolism model and the cost function. In par-592 ticular, the IIAS incorporates a hybrid personalized glucose-593 insulin metabolism model, which is based on the combined 594 use of CMs for the simulation of glucose absorption from the 595 gut and the subcutaneous insulin kinetics, respectively, and an 596 RNN for the simulation of glucose kinetics. The ability of 597 the RNN to be trained on line provides high personalization 598 and adaptation capabilities. Moreover, online tuning of the cost 599 function's parameters—prediction horizon  $(N_p)$ , control hori-600 zon  $(N_c)$ , and control weighting coefficient  $(\Gamma_{-u})$ —is achieved 601 through a fuzzy-based logic strategy. The IIAS has been 602 in silico evaluated using the UVa T1DM simulator [100] and its 603 performance has been compared against both the adaptive basal 604

TABLE V Comparison of a Glucose Controller (IIAS) Based on Nonlinear Model-Predictive Control With the Adaptive Basal Therapy [42]

Controller	Hypoglycemia Percentage	Hyperglycemia Percentage	Safe Percentage	Risk Index
IIAS Adaptive Basal Therapy	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \pm 0.00 \\ 0.50 \pm 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.60 \pm 1.52 \\ 1.3 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 99.40 \pm 1.52 \\ 98.20 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.99 \pm 0.43 \\ 1.7 \pm 0.59 \end{array}$

TABLE VI Comparison of a Glucose Controller (IIAS) Based on Nonlinear Model-Predictive Control With the Artificial Pancreatic B-Cell [42]

Controller	Average Glucose Value	Percentage of Hyperglycemic Episodes
IIAS	$117.61 \pm 7.11$	$0.81 \pm 2.05$
Zone-MPC (bounds: 80–140 mg/dl) (Experiment 5 in study [99])	$152.00 \pm 28.00$	$27.99\pm20.51$
Zone-MPC (bounds: 100–120 mg/dl) (Experiment 6 in study [99])	$141.00 \pm 29.00$	$20.75\pm19.45$
MPC (set-point 110 mg/dl) (Experiment 7 in study [99])	$136.00\pm29.00$	$17.54\pm18.58$

therapy presented in [41] and the artificial pancreatic *b*-cell, 605 which is based on zone-MPC and is adjusted automatically by 606 linear difference personalized models [99]. The obtained results 607 are presented in Tables V and VI. The IIAS has achieved the 608 lowest risk associated with extreme glucose deviations (Risk 609 610 Index) in the former case and the lowest percentage of glucose excursions in both cases. The superiority of the IIAS over the 611 adaptive basal therapy and the linear MPC justifies the need of 612 applying more sophisticated control strategies to regulate glu-613 cose levels in T1DM. 614

Several clinical studies have been conducted in recent years, 615 in order to test and compare the performance of closed-loop 616 glucose controllers against conventional therapies [101]–[105]. 617 Overnight closed-loop experiments using different MPC con-618 trollers have demonstrated the superiority of the closed-loop 619 control over the conventional pump treatment [101], [104]. 620 Similar conclusions have been drawn from closed-loop clinical 621 studies lasting more than 24 hours [101], [107]. 622

Recent technological advances have led to the development 623 of systems supporting outpatient clinical trials over extended 624 time periods in order to evaluate the performance of closed-loop 625 glucose controllers under free living conditions. The Diabetes 626 Assistant (DiAs), an experimental smartphone-based mobile 627 system, is the first portable platform facilitating outpatient clin-628 ical trials [108]. In the same context, a three-layer modular 629 architecture for closed-loop control of T1DM has been devel-630 oped, consisting of a sensor/pump interface module, a continu-631 ous safety module, and a real-time control module [109]. 632

Although great progress has been made toward the development of safe and accurate automated insulin delivery systems, the risk of hypoglycemia caused by overestimated insulin infusion rates is not completely eliminated. In order to prevent and treat hypoglycemia, latest research directions focus on 637 the administration of both insulin and glucagon, the insulin-638 counteracting hormone. The feasibility of achieving safe and 639 good glycemic control by applying bihormonal closed-loop glu-640 cose controllers has been investigated [106], and their superi-641 ority over insulin-only controllers has been proven [110]. The 642 most common approach combines MPC for the estimation of 643 insulin infusion rates in order to handle the time lags and de-644 lays imposed from the subcutaneous insulin delivery, and PID 645 control for the calculation of glucagon infusion rates, since the 646 subcutaneous glucagon absorption is rapid [106]. 647

Although significant efforts have been reported toward the 648 development of closed-loop glucose controllers, there are still 649 severe limitations in terms of reliability, safety, and accuracy 650 [111]. Considering the short duration (up to one week) of the 651 inpatient and outpatient clinical trials along with the fact that 652 closed-loop glucose controllers are intended for chronic use, 653 there is a lack of clinical evidence for proving their effective-654 ness and safety. Moreover, the occasional inaccuracies in glu-655 cose records from the CGMS and the delays caused from the 656 subcutaneous insulin administration makes the estimation of 657 optimal insulin infusion rates a challenging task. Although the 658 usage of more than one glucose sensors has been proposed, 659 improvement of the existing or development of novel control 660 strategies with various levels of safety is needed in order to 661 enhance robustness. Bihormonal closed-loop systems seem to 662 be very promising in achieving optimal glycemic control [106]. 663 However, more stable glucagon preparations are needed in or-664 der for the glucagon to remain in a wearable pump for at least 665 3-7 days, and therefore, to enable long-lasting clinical trials for 666 obtaining reliable evaluation results. 667

### IV. TOWARD T2DM PREDICTIVE MODELING USING MOLECULAR DATA

Although clinical data encompass phenotypic information, 670 insulin secretion and resistance actually involve with multiscale 671 biological processes affected by gene, protein, and metabolite 672 factors [5], [112], [113]. A patient's comprehensive biological 673 state can be inferred by combining several omic data types, in-674 cluding genomic, transcriptomic, epigenomic, proteomic, and 675 metabolomic. The omic profile is useful for investigating or 676 predicting the underlying interactions, associations, and mecha-677 nisms in acquired samples. Recent advances in high-throughput 678 technologies such as microarrays, NGS, and mass spectrome-679 try have enabled the identification of molecular biomarkers for 680 T2DM. For example, the population-level genome-wide asso-681 ciation study (GWAS) [4] helps discover novel genetic variants 682 associated with T2DM that can be incorporated into T2DM risk 683 prediction models. To be more specific, GWAS has identified 684 putative causal genes for T2DM such as CDKAL1, CDKN2A, 685 IGF2BP2, and MTNR1B, each of which corresponds to 15-686 20% increase in the T2DM risk. Because a tremendous amount 687 of GWAS data has become publicly available, several stud-688 ies have focused on the metaanalysis of these data and have 689 resulted in the identification of 59 genetic loci that are associ-690 ated with T2DM susceptibility [37], [38]. Moreover, multiple 691

668

Genomic	Transcriptomic	Epigenomic	Proteomic	Metabolomic
20 genetic loci from the GWA study [4]	TNF-a mRNA [116]	Chromatin remodeling [119],	Hormones (e.g. amylin) [125]	Fatty acid [127], [128]
59 genetic loci from	p <sup>22</sup> Phox NADPH oxidase mRNA [116]	[120]	Protease inhibitors (e.g. cystatin) [125]	Tryptophan [128]
the meta-analysis GWA studies [37],	TXNIP mRNA [116]	Oxidative stress [119], [120]		Lysophosphatidy-
[38] SNP on CAPN10 gene [114] SNP on DACH1 gene [115]	SOCS-3 mRNA [116]	Epigenetic regulation of the DLK1-MEG3 microRNA cluster [119], [120]	proteins (e.g.	icholine [126], [128]
	microRNA [117], [118]		Cell adhesion (e.g. protocadherin) [125]	
		Histone modification in vascular epithelium [121]	Secreted enzymes (e.g. phospholipase) [125]	

Fig. 5. Molecular biomarkers for prediabetes and T2DM.

single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on CAPN10 have been 692 found to collectively increase the risk of T2DM by 2.8 folds 693 694 [114], while SNP on DACH1 gene is associated with familial young-onset diabetes, prediabetes, and CVD in the Chinese 695 696 population [115]. Gene-expression patterns may also assist in predicting prediabetic states or uncovering underlying biologi-697 cal mechanisms of T2DM. Transcript expression levels among 698 patients with T2DM, subjects with impaired glucose tolerance, 699 and subjects with normal glucose tolerance have been studied. 700 The authors have reported that TNF-alpha, TXNIP, and SOCS-701 702 3 genes are accurate indicators for various clinical conditions [116]. Furthermore, expression profiles of microRNA and their 703 effects on regulating insulin sensitivity have been widely exam-704 ined in recent years [117], [118]. 705

706 Other than genetic factors, the environmental modification of DNA sequences (e.g., DNA methylation and histone modifica-707 tion) substantially contributes to the risk of T2DM. Epigenetic 708 mechanisms such as chromatin remodeling and oxidative stress, 709 epigenetic regulation of gene expression, and histone modifica-710 711 tion in vascular epithelium exposed to hyperglycemia are related to T2DM [119], [120]. More specifically, the epigenetic regu-712 lation of the DLK1-MEG3 microRNA cluster by DNA methy-713 lation is associated with Type 2 diabetic islets [121]. Scaling 714 up the biological scales, protein and metabolite markers, caused 715 by genomic and transcriptomic variations, represent disease sta-716 717 tus with more directness and immediacy [122], [123]. Protein markers such as specific cytokines and chemokines are predic-718 tive for T2DM since inflammatory response is significant in the 719 disease [124]. Five classes of protein markers in T2DM have 720 721 been identified: hormones (e.g., amylin), protease inhibitors 722 (e.g., cystatin), secretory vesicle proteins (e.g., chromogranin), 723 cell adhesion (e.g., protocadherin), and secreted enzymes (e.g., phospholipase) [125]. Compared to other omic technolo-724 gies, metabolomics is an emerging due to the complexity of 725 the biochemical targets [122], which is caused by the vari-726 ety of biological sample types being examined, the number 727 of metabolites, and the large magnitude of variation in metabo-728 lite concentrations. Alterations in fatty acid, tryptophan, and 729 lysophosphatidylcholine metabolism and in other metabolic 730 pathways may constitute a metabolic signature for T2DM [126]-731 [128]. In Fig. 5, the aforementioned molecular biomarkers as-732 sociated with prediabetes and T2DM are summarized under the 733 corresponding omic category. 734

To take advantage of emerging genomic knowledge and to translate it into clinically useful tools/services, genotype scores have been developed with the ability to assess the risk of T2DM 737 incidence taking into account these genetic variations [129]. 738 Within this context, several prospective cohort studies have been 739 conducted aiming at assessing the impact of introducing the ge-740 netic profile into the T2DM risk prediction models. In particular, 741 in these studies, the models have been fed with different input 742 space consisting of, either only the genetic factors, or only the 743 conventional risk factors or both, and their predictive perfor-744 mance has been comparatively assessed. The models' discrimi-745 native ability has been evaluated in terms of the area under the 746 receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC), which is created 747 by plotting the true positive rate against the false positive rate 748 at various threshold settings. In the case of the genetic input 749 space, the AUC ranges from 55% to 68% with a median of 60% 750 achieving lower performance than that achieved by applying 751 only conventional risk factors (AUC range: 63%–90%, median: 752 78%) [129]. The highest performance has been achieved by tak-753 ing into account both genetic and conventional risk factors (AUC 754 range: 63%–91%, median: 79%). The inclusion of the genetic 755 profile into the models' input space has resulted in slight im-756 provement in their predictive performance, irrespectively of the 757 study design, participants' race/ethnicity and number of genetic 758 markers included. Although in theory, it could be speculated that 759 the genetic profile can be useful in the case of the youngest pop-760 ulation, because the phenotypic symptoms have not occurred, 761 yet, there are no studies to justify this notion. The most impor-762 tant reason for not obtaining a significantly higher predictive 763 performance by taking into account the genetic variants is the 764 limited number of the identified genetic markers with the ma-765 jority of them not strongly correlated with T2DM (odds ratios 766 of heterozygous genotypes are less than 1.15) [129]. In order to 767 achieve AUC up to 80% and even higher, based on the genetic 768 profile, 400 genetic variants with minor allele frequencies of 769 10% and odds ratios of the heterozygous genotypes for each 770 variant greater than 1.25 are needed [129], [130]. 771

#### V. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS AND CHALLENGES 772

Although great progress has been made in the recent years 773 toward the development of the CDSS for diabetes management, 774 these systems have not yet been fully adopted in the clinical 775 practice. This is mainly due to the biased data analysis and the 776 lack of reliable and comprehensive evaluation studies, since the 777 criteria for selecting patients and controls and the approaches for 778 the treatment of controls vary greatly among published studies 779 [131]. Moreover, although it is widely known that CDSS have 780 great potential to provide with cost-effective solutions, substan-781 tial economic analysis for proving this has not been conducted. 782

Apart from the need for a systematic evaluation framework, 783 current research challenges focus on the development of new 784 CGMS and sensor networks able to monitor in an unobtrusive 785 and seamless manner a wide range of physiological and lifestyle 786 related parameters. Advanced data analytics and modeling ap-787 proaches are needed to extract clinically meaningful knowledge 788 from the multitude of collected raw data. User-centered ap-789 proaches, taking advantage of the sensor networks and the per-790 sonalized CDSS, can significantly contribute in reshaping and 791 improving the clinical workflow for the management of DM. 792

#### 793 A. Unobtrusive Sensing

The key challenges for the development of next-generation 794 CGMS refer to decreasing the operational cost, reducing the 795 number of calibrations and warm up periods, and improving ac-796 797 curacy. Furthermore, the current trends point to the development of noninvasive techniques for accurate glucose monitoring. Al-798 though considerable efforts have been made in this direction, 799 there are still issues related to precision, robustness, stability, 800 long response time for glucose determination, which require 801 considerable improvements [20]. 802

Moreover, the development of sensors for automatically de-803 tecting meal consumption constitutes a major challenge in di-804 etary monitoring. Within this context, the usage of wearable 805 body sensors, for detecting intake gestures (e.g., intentional arm 806 movements to bring food into mouth), chewing sounds during 807 food intake, and swallowing have been recently investigated 808 [132]. Intake gestures can be detected by inertial sensors inte-809 grated into clothing [133], chewing sounds can be recorded by 810 ear microphones [134], and swallowing can be assessed using 811 Electromyography at the hyoid or a textile capacitive sensor 812 [135]. The signals from these sensors can be analyzed in order 813 814 to recognize the time, type, and amount of a meal.

Taking into account that the DM pathophysiology is a con-815 tinuing process, transient critical abnormalities should be early 816 detected. Sensor networks able to provide with continuous phys-817 iological (e.g., glucose, blood pressure, pulse, cardiac rhythm) 818 and lifestyle (e.g., diet, physical activity) monitoring data have 819 great potential to detect such transitions and track the progress of 820 821 the disease. The emerging technology of Internet of Things can significantly contribute toward this direction by providing global 822 connectivity among sensors and devices that contain appropri-823 ate embedded technology, thus enabling seamless integration 824 of more factors in clinical decision making related to diabetes 825 826 management.

## B. Emerging Methodologies for Modeling the Onset and the Progress of DM

Considering the multifactorial nature of DM, multilevel and 829 multiscale modeling approaches should be applied in order to 830 take into consideration all the different types of factors that 831 are strongly associated with the disease onset and evolution. 832 New powerful data analysis methods, such as undirected and 833 directed networks, can be used to capture correlated and causal 834 relationships among the variables. Undirected networks can 835 represent correlations but no causal effects. For example, the 836 weighted correlation network builds upon the pairwise correla-837 tion between features determining the significance of each link 838 [136]. The regression-based network can use various regression 839 models (e.g., linear regression, Poisson regression, and logistic 840 regression) depending on the distribution of targeted response 841 842 features [137], [138]. In directed networks, causal relationships may be inferred from the direction of each link. ARM-based 843 and Bayesian are two examples of this kind of network. The 844 Bayesian network applies Bayes rules to link features, wherein 845 the occurrence of a feature depends on the occurrence of the 846 847 other feature. The strength of each link depends on the posterior

conditional probabilities [139], [140]. Such methods can be applied in order to identify novel biomarkers, which are strongly related with the onset of T2DM and the evolution of T1DM and T2DM. 851

An emerging methodology for discovering patterns in mul-852 tiscale data is deep learning, which is applied for both unsu-853 pervised and supervised analysis [141], [142]. Deep learning 854 methods are inspired by the hierarchical structure of the brain, 855 and use multiple levels of abstraction in order to identify rel-856 evant patterns. Such methods have been, recently, applied in 857 order to predict patient phenotypes from clinical data [143] and 858 biomolecular properties [144], [145]. In the case of DM, deep 859 learning techniques can be used to search for patterns across 860 clinical and multiple types of omic data. 861

#### C. User Centered Approaches

The development of user centered approaches, through body 863 sensor networks, context awareness, and personalized model-864 ing, can significantly contribute to empower citizens and pa-865 tients toward the self-management of their own health and 866 disease outside institutions, improving, thus, health outcomes 867 in terms of both quality of life and health expenditures. A 868 holistic user-centered approach, supported by computer-based 869 predictive models, providing personalization capabilities and 870 integrating heterogeneous sources of data (patient, clinical, bi-871 ological, therapeutic, behavioral, physical training and perfor-872 mance, lifestyle and diet, environmental data, social data) has 873 great potential to raise individual awareness, promote behavioral 874 lifestyle changes, support treatment, and monitor the disease. 875

Increased emphasis should also be given on the develop-876 ment of the CDSS in order to improve interactions between 877 patients and health professionals within the context of codeci-878 sion making. Furthermore, the creation of ecosystems for DM 879 management, involving multiple stakeholders such as patients, 880 families, diabetologists, general practitioners, case managers, 881 who undertake activities related to the coordination of services 882 (assessment, planning, facilitation, evaluation, monitoring the 883 patient's progress, and promoting cost-effective care) on be-884 half of an individual patient, and health care policy makers is 885 particularly challenging. 886

#### VI. CONCLUSION

887

Optimal management of DM requires redesigning the current 888 system of healthcare delivery by shifting the focus from reactive 889 to proactive care. Predictive and preventive medicine for DM 890 must rely on the capacity to capitalize on information from a 891 diverse range of data (lifestyle, social, clinical, treatment, and 892 molecular) in order to early detect pathophysiological changes 893 and to better tailor intervention and treatment. Recent ad-894 vances in sensing technologies for monitoring physiological and 895 lifestyle parameters coupled with advanced data analytics and 896 modeling approaches for the prediction, diagnosis, and manage-897 ment of DM can play a key role. Enhanced integration of patient 898 data through the development of multiscale and multilevel phys-899 iological models can generate new clinical knowledge and con-900 tribute to a more effective personalized diabetes care approach. 901

#### REFERENCES

- 903 [1] M. Borgman and E. McErlean, "What is the metabolic syndrome?: Prediabetes and cardiovascular risk," *J. Cardiovasc. Nurs.*, vol. 21, pp. 285– 290, Feb. 2006.
- [2] T. A. Samuels *et al.*, "Delayed diagnosis of incident type 2 diabetes mellitus in the ARIC study," *Amer. J. Manag. Care*, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 717–724, Dec. 2006.
- [3] International Diabetes Federation. (2000). IDF Diabetes Atlas (6th ed.).
   [0nline] Available: http://www.idf.org/diabetesatlas/download-book
- [4] M. I. McCarthy, "GENOMIC MEDICINE genomics, type 2 diabetes, and obesity," *New Engl. J. Med.*, vol. 363, pp. 2339–2350, Dec. 2010.
- [5] A. Floegel *et al.*, "Identification of serum metabolites associated with risk of type 2 diabetes using a targeted metabolomic approach," *Diabetes*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 639–648, Feb. 2012.
- [6] C. Cobelli *et al.*, "Diabetes: Models, signals, and control," *IEEE Rev. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 2, pp. 54–96, Jan. 2009.
- [7] R. J. Stevens *et al.*, "The UKPDS risk engine: A model for the risk of coronary heart disease in type 2 diabetes," *Clin. Sci.*, vol. 101, no. 6, pp. 671–679, Dec. 2001.
- [8] The CDC Cost effectiveness Group, "Cost-effectiveness of intensive glycemic control, intensified hypertension control, and serum cholesterol level reduction for type 2 diabetes," *JAMA*, vol. 287, no. 19, pp. 2542–2551, May 2002.
- [9] J. B. Brown *et al.*, "The global diabetes model user friendly version 3.0," *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 15–46, Nov. 2000.
- [10] R. J. Smith *et al.*, "Individualizing therapies in type 2 diabetes mellitus based on patient characteristics: What we know and what we need to know," *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*, vol. 95, pp. 1566–1574, Mar. 2010.
- [11] A. Fioravanti *et al.*, "Health-Integrated System Paradigm: Diabetes Management," in *Handbook of Biomedical Telemetry*. 1st ed., K. S. Nikita, Ed. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2014, pp. 623–632.
- [12] E. J. Gomez *et al.*, "The INCA system: A further step towards a telemedical artificial pancreas," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Technol. Biomed.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 470–479, Jul. 2008.
- [13] E. Spanakis *et al.*, "Diabetes management using modern information and communication technologies and new care models," *Interact. J. Med. Res.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 1–12, Oct. 2012.
- [14] L. Heinemann *et al.*, "AP@home: A novel European approach to bring
  the artificial pancreas home," *J. Diabetes Sci. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 6,
  pp. 1363–1372, Nov. 2011.
- [15] S.G. Mougiakakou *et al.*, "SMARTDIAB: A communication and information technology approach for the intelligent monitoring, management and follow-up of type 1 diabetes patients," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Technol. Biomed.*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 622–633, May 2010.
- [16] D. Capozzi and G. Lanzola, "An agent-based architecture for home care monitoring and education of chronic patients," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Complexity Eng.*, 2010, pp. 138–140.
- [17] J. Place *et al.*, "DiAs web monitoring: A real-time remote monitoring system designed for artificial pancreas outpatient trials," *J. Diabetes Sci. Technol.*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 1427–1435, Nov. 2013.
- [18] G. Lanzola *et al.*, "Bringing the artificial pancreas home: Telemedicine aspects," *J. Diabetes Sci. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 1381–1386, 2011.
- [19] A. Facchinetti *et al.*, "Real-Time improvement of continuous glucose monitoring accuracy, the smart sensor concept," *Diabetes Care*,
  vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 793–800, 2013.
- [20] S. K. Vashist, "Continuous glucose monitoring systems: A review," *Diagnostics*, vol. 3, pp. 385–412, Oct. 2013.
- [21] B. Kovatcev *et al.*, "Evaluating the accuracy of continuous glucose-monitoring sensors," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1922–1928, Aug. 2004.
- 964 [22] N. Oliver *et al.*, "Review Article. Glucose sensors: A review of current and emerging technology," *Diabetic Med.*, vol. 26, pp. 197–210, Mar. 2008.
- 967 [23] Integrity-app.com. (2015). The GlucoTrack | Integrity Applications.
   968 [Online]. Available: http://www.integrity-app.com/the-glucotrack/. [Ac 969 cessed: 10 Apr. 2015]
- [24] Freestylelibre.com. (2015). FreeStyle Libre. [Online]. Available: http://
   www.freestylelibre.com/. [Accessed: 10 Apr. 2015.
- [25] Gluco-wise.com. (2015). GlucoWise<sup>TM</sup>: Meet the new non-invasive glucose monitor that helps you take control of your life. [Online]. Available: http://www.gluco-wise.com/. [Accessed: 06- Apr- 2015].

- [26] Echotx.com. (2015). Echo Therapeutics Symphony CGM System. [Online]. Available: http://www.echotx.com/symphony-cgm-system.shtml.
   [Accessed: 06- Apr- 2015].
- [27] "Cnoga Medical develops diagnostics through skin color. (2013). [Online]. Available: http://www.globes.co.il/en/article-1000877563
   979
- [28] Iquickitsalivaanalyzer.com. (2015). iQuickIt Products. [Online]. Available: http://iquickitsalivaanalyzer.com/iquickit/. [Accessed: 06- Apr-2015].
   [29] Wikinedia (2015). Google Contact Lens [Online]. Available: http://en
- [29] Wikipedia. (2015). Google Contact Lens. [Online]. Available: http://en.
   wikipedia.org/wiki/Google\_Contact\_Lens. [Accessed: 06- Apr- 2015].
   984
- [30] Sites.garmin.com. (2015). Vivofit 2 | Activity Tracker | Garmin. [Online].
   985 Available: https://sites.garmin.com/en-US/vivo/vivofit/. [Accessed: 06-Apr- 2015].
- [31] Jawbone. (2015). Buy UP24<sup>TM</sup> by Jawbone<sup>®</sup> | Fitness Tracker | Band
   + App'. [Online]. Available: https://jawbone.com/store/buy/up24. [Accordscience]
   989
   cessed: 06- Apr- 2015].
- [32] Fitbit.com. (2015). Fitbit flex wireless activity & sleep wristband. [Online]. Available: http://www.fitbit.com/flex. [Accessed: 06- Apr- 2015]. 992
- Basis Store. (2015). [Online]. Available: https://store.mybasis.com/. [Accessed: 06- Apr- 2015].
   994
- [34] Bodymedia.com. (2015). BodyMedia // Reach Your Health & Fitness 995 Goals with BodyMedia FIT. [Online]. Available: http://www.bodymedia. 996 com/, [Accessed: 06- Apr-2015]. 997
- [35] Withings.com. (2015). Withings Pulse O<sub>2</sub>. [Online]. Available: http:// 998
   www.withings.com/us/withings-pulse.html. [Accessed: 06- Apr- 2015]. 999
- [36] Misfit.com. (2015). Misfit Shine | Premium Fitness + Sleep Monitor. 1000
   [Online]. Available: http://misfit.com/products/shine?locale = en. [Accessed: 06- Apr- 2015].
- [37] A. Mahajan *et al.*, "Genome-wide trans-ancestry meta-analysis provides 1003 insight into the genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes susceptibility," *Nat.* 1004 *Genetics*, vol. 46, pp. 234–244, Mar. 2014.
- [38] Y. S. Cho *et al.*, "Meta-analysis of genome -wide association studies 1006 identifies eight new loci for type 2 diabetes in East Asians," *Nat. Genetics*, 1007 vol. 44, pp. 67–72, Jan. 2012.
- [39] M. Bethesda, "National diabetes statistics," National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Bethesda, MD, USA, 2007. 1010
- [40] D. O. Shankaracharya *et al.*, "Computational intelligence in early 1011 diabetes diagnosis: A review," *Rev. Diabetic Stud.*, vol. 7, no. 4, 1012 pp. 252–262, Feb. 2010.
- [41] G. Collins, "Developing risk prediction models for type 2 diabetes: A 1014 systematic review of methodology and reporting," *BMC Med.*, vol. 9, 1015 pp. 1–14, Sep. 2011.
- [42] Y. Wang *et al.*, "A novel adaptive basal therapy based on the value 1017 and rate of change of blood glucose," *J. Diabetes Sci. Technol.*, vol. 3, 1018 pp. 1099–1108, Sep. 2009.
- [43] S. M. Kamruzzaman *et al.*, "Medical diagnosis using neural network," 1020 presented at the 3rd Int. Conf. Electrical and Computer Engineering, 1021 Dhaka, Bangladesh, Dec. 2004.
- [44] E. D. Ubeyli, "Automatic diagnosis of diabetes using adaptive neurofuzzy inference," *Expert Syst.*, vol. 27, pp. 259–266, Aug. 2010.
- [45] N. H. Barakat *et al.*, "Intelligible support vector machines for diagnosis of diabetes mellitus," *Trans. Inf. Technol. Biomed.*, vol. 14, 1026 pp. 1114–1120, Jul. 2010. 1027
- [46] K. Polat *et al.*, "A cascade learning system for classification of diabetes 1028 disease: Generalized discriminant analysis and least square support vector machine," *Exp. Syst. Appl.*, vol. 34, pp. 482–487, Oct. 2008.
- [47] E. D. Ubeyli, "Modified mixture of experts for diabetes diagnosis," J. 1031 Med. Syst., vol. 33, pp. 299–305, Aug. 2009.
- [48] A. Abbasi *et al.*, "Prediction models for risk of developing type 2 1033 diabetes: Systematic literature search and independent external validation 1034 study," *Brit. Med. J.*, pp. 1–16, Sep. 2012.
- [49] B. Tabaei and W. Herman, "A multivariate logistic regression equation to screen for diabetes: development and validation," *Diabetes Care*, 1037 vol. 25, pp. 1999–2003, Nov. 2002.
- [50] J. Tuomilehto *et al.*, "Development and validation of a risk-score model 1039 for subjects with impaired glucose tolerance for the assessment of the 1040 risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: The STOP-NIDDM risk-score," *Diabetes* 1041 *Res. Clin. Pract.*, vol. 87, pp. 267–274, Dec. 2010. 1042
- [51] J. Xie *et al.*, "A quick self-assessment tool to identify individuals at high 1043 risk of type 2 diabetes in the Chinese general population," *J. Epidemiol.* 1044 *Community Health*, vol. 64, pp. 236–242, Aug. 2010. 1045
- [52] H. Kahn *et al.*, "Two risk- scoring systems for predicting incident diabetes mellitus in U.S. adults age 45 to 64 years," *Ann. Intern. Med.*, 1047 vol. 150, pp. 741–751, Jun. 2009.

902

Q2

, ) **0**3

- 1049 [53] P. Wilson *et al.*, "Prediction of incident diabetes mellitus in middle-aged adults: The Framingham offspring study," *Arch. Intern. Med.*, vol. 167, pp. 1068–1074, May 2007
- [54] C. Cheng *et al.*, "Mining association rules for neurobehavioral and motor disorders in children diagnosed with cerebral palsy," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Healthcare Informat.*, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2013, pp. 258–263.
- 1056 [55] C. Cheng *et al.*, "icuARM–An ICU clinical decision support system using association rule mining," *IEEE J. Transl. Eng. Health Med.*, vol. 1, Nov. 2013.
- Q4 1059 [56] C. Cheng *et al.*, "PHARM association rule mining for predictive health,"
   in *Proc. Int. Conf. Health Informat*, Vilamoura, Portugal, vol. 42, 2014,
   pp. 114–117.
  - 1062 [57] P. E. Schwarz *et al.*, "Tools for predicting the risk of type 2 diabetes in daily practice," *Horm. Metab. Res.*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 86–97, Feb. 2009.
  - 1065 [58] K. Zarkogianni and K. S. Nikita, "Personal health systems for diabetes management, early diagnosis and prevention," in *Handbook of Research on Trends in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Chronic Conditions*. Hershey, PA , USA: IGI Global Disseminator of Knowledge, 2015.
- Q51069[59]The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes1070Intervention and Complications (DCCT/EDIC) Study Research Group,1071"Intensive diabetes treatment and cardiovascular disease in patients with1072type 1 diabetes," New Engl. J., vol. 53, pp. 2643–2653, Dec. 2005.
  - 1073 [60] R. E. Carter *et al.*, "Intensive treatment of diabetes is associated with
    a reduced rate of peripheral arterial calcification in the diabetes control
    and complication," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 2646–2648, Jul.
    2007.
  - 1077 [61] P. A. Cleary *et al.*, "The effect of intensive glycemic treatment on coronary artery calcification in type 1 diabetic participants of the Diabetes
    1079 Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (DCCT/EDIC) Study," *Diabetes*, vol. 55, p. 3556, Dec. 2006.
- Q6 1082 [62] J. Hippisley-Cox *et al.*, "Predicting cardiovascular risk in England and Wales: Prospective derivation and validation of QRISK2," *Brit. Med. J.*, vol. 336, pp. 1475–1482, Jun. 2008.
  - [63] R. J. Stevens *et al.*, "Risk factors for myocardial infarction case fatality and stroke case fatality in type 2 diabetes," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 27, pp. 201–207, Jan. 2004.
  - 1088 [64] V. Kothari *et al.*, "UKPDS 60: Risk of stroke in type 2 diabetes estimated by the UK Prospective Diabetes Study risk engine," *Stroke*, vol. 33, pp. 1776–1781, Jul. 2002.
  - 1091 [65] P. M. Clarke *et al.*, "A model to estimate the lifetime health outcomes of patients with type 2 diabetes: The United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes
    1093 Study (UKPDS) outcomes model," *Diabetologia*, pp. 1747–1759, Oct.
    1094 2004.
  - 1095 [66] Y. Vergouwe *et al.*, "Progression to microalbuminuria in type 1 diabetes:
    1096 Development and validation of a prediction rule," *Diabetologia*, vol. 53,
    1097 pp. 254–262, Nov. 2010.
  - [67] M. Skevofilakas *et al.*, "A hybrid decision support system for the risk assessment of retinopathy development as a long term complication of type 1 diabetes mellitus," in *Proc. IEEE 32nd Annu. Int. Eng. Med. Biol. Soc. Conf.*, Buenos Aires, Argentina, Aug. 31–Sep. 4, 2010, pp. 6713–6716.
  - 1103 [68] The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group, "The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus," *N. Engl. J. Med.*, vol. 329, no. 14, pp. 977–986, Sep. 2003.
  - 1107 [69] The Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC)
    1108 Research Group, "Design, implementation, and preliminary results of
    1109 a long-term follow-up of the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial
    1110 cohort," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 22, pp. 99–111, Jan. 1999.
  - 1111 [70] M. Porta *et al.*, "Risk factors for progression to proliferative diabetic retinopathy in the EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study," *Diabetologia*, vol. 44, pp. 2203–2209, Dec. 2001.
  - 1114 [71] V. Lagani *et al.*, "A systematic review of predictive risk models for diabetes complications based on large scale clinical studies," *J. Diabetes Complications*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 407–413, Dec. 2013.
  - [72] C. D. Man *et al.*, "GIM, simulation software of meal glucoseinsulin model," *J. Diabetes Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, pp. 323–330, May 2007.
  - [73] J. A. Florian and R. S. Parker, "Empirical modeling for glucose control in diabetes and critical care," *Eur. J. Control*, vol. 11, pp. 344–350, Oct.
    2005.

- [74] G. D. Mitsis *et al.*, "Nonlinear modeling of the dynamic effects of 1123 infused insulin on glucose: Comparison of compartmental with Volterra 1124 models," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 56, pp. 2347–2358, Jun. 2009. 1125
- [75] D. A. Finan *et al.*, "Identification of linear dynamic models for type 1126 1 diabetes: A simulation study," presented at the *14th IFAC ADCHEM* 1127 *Symp.*, Gramado, Brazil, 2006.
- [76] G. Sparacino *et al.*, "Glucose concentration can be predicted ahead 1129 in time from continuous glucose monitoring sensor time-series", *IEEE* 1130 *Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 931–937, May 2007.
- [77] S. M. Pappada *et al.*, "Development of a neural network for prediction 1132 of glucose concentration in Type 1 diabetes patients," *J. Diabetes Sci.* 1133 *Technol.*, vol. 2, pp. 792–801, Sep. 2008.
- [78] S. G. Mougiakakou *et al.*, "Prediction of glucose profile in children with 1135 type 1 diabetes mellitus using continuous glucose monitors and insulin 1136 pumps," *Horm. Res.*, vol. 70, pp. 22–23, 2008.
- [79] G. Baghdadi and A. M. Nasrabadi, "Controlling blood glucose levels in 1138 diabetics by neural network predictor," in *Proc. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol.* 1139 *Soc. Conf.*, Lion, France, 2007, pp. 3216–3219.
- [80] Z. Zainuddin *et al.*, "A neural network approach in predicting the 1141 blood glucose level for diabetic patients," *Int. J. Comp. Int.*, vol. 3, 1142 no. 1, pp. 72–79, Feb. 2009. 1143
- [81] K. Zarkogianni *et al.*, "Neuro-Fuzzy based glucose prediction model for 1144 patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus," in *Proc. IEEE- Eng. Med. Biol.* 1145 *Soc. Conf.*, Valencia, Spain, 2014, pp. 252–255.
- [82] E. Georga *et al.*, "Multivariate prediction of subcutaneous glucose concentration in Type 1 diabetes patients based on support vector regression," 1148
   *IEEE J. Biomed. Health Inform.*, vol. 17, pp. 71–81, Feb. 2013. 1149
- [83] K. Zarkogianni et al., "Personalized glucose-insulin metabolism model 1150 based on self-organizing maps for patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus," 1151 in Proc. IEEE Bioinformat. Bioeng. Conf., Chania, Greece, Nov. 10–13, 1152 2013, pp. 1–4. 1153
- [84] G. M. Steil *et al.*, "Closed-loop insulin delivery—The path to physiological glucose control," *Adv. Drug Del. Rev.*, vol. 56, pp. 125–144, Aug. 1155 2004.
- [85] G. Marchetti *et al.*, "An improved PID switching control strategy for 1157 type 1 diabetes," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 857–865, 1158 Feb. 2008.
- [86] P. Dua *et al.*, "Model-based blood glucose control for type 1 diabetes 1160 via parametric programming," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 53, no. 8, 1161 pp, 1478–1491, Aug. 2006. 1162
- [87] A. Abu-Rmileh and W. Garcia-Garbin, "A gain-scheduling model predictive controller for blood glucose control in type 1 diabetes," *IEEE* 1164 *Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 57, no. 10, pp. 2478–2484, Sep. 2010.
- [88] Y. Wang *et al.*, "Closed-loop control of artificial pancreatic β-cell in type 1166 1 diabetes mellitus using model predictive iterative learning control," 1167 *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 211–219, Jun. 2010.
- [89] R. Hovorka *et al.*, "Nonlinear model predictive control of glucose concentration in subjects with type 1 diabetes," *Physiol. Meas.*, vol. 25, 1170 pp. 905–920, Jul. 2004.
- [90] L. Hyunjin and B. W. Bequette, "A closed-loop artificial pancreas based 1172 on model predictive control: Human-friendly identification and automatic meal disturbance rejection," *Biomed. Signal Process. Control*, 1174 vol. 4, pp. 347–354, Oct. 2009. 1175
- [91] L. Magni *et al.*, "Model predictive control of glucose concentration 1176 in type 1 diabetic patient: An in silico trial," *Biomed. Signal Process.* 1177 *Control*, vol. 4, pp. 338–346, Nov. 2009. 1178
- [92] J. G. Chase *et al.*, "Overview of glycemic control in critical care: 1179 Relating performance and clinical results," *J. Diabetes Sci. Technol.*, 1180 vol. 1, pp. 82–91, Jan. 2007.
- [93] L. Magni *et al.*, "Model predictive control of type 1 diabetes: An in 1182 silico trial," *J. Diabetes Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, pp. 804–812, Nov. 2007. 1183
- [94] R. Hovorka *et al.*, "Closing the loop: The adicol experience," *Diabetes* 1184 *Technol. Ther.*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 307–318, Jun. 2004.
- [95] H. C. Schaller *et al.*, "On-line adaptive algorithm with glucose prediction 1186 capacity for subcutaneous closed loop control of glucose: Evaluation 1187 under fasting conditions in patients with type 1 diabetes," *Diabetic Med.*, 1188 vol. 23, pp. 90–93, Jan. 2006.
- [96] R. Hovorka *et al.*, "Overnight closed loop insulin delivery (artificial pancreas) in adults with type 1 diabetes: Cross over randomized controlled 1191 studies," *Biomed J.*, vol. 342, p. d1855, Apr. 2011.
- [97] K. Zarkogianni *et al.*, "Comparative assessment of glucose prediction 1193 models for patients with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus applying sensors for 1194 glucose and physical activity monitoring," *Med. Biol. Eng. Comput.*, to 1195 be published.

- [98] K. Zarkogianni et al., "An insulin infusion advisory system based on 1197 1198 autotuning nonlinear model-predictive control," IEEE Trans. Biomed. 1199 Eng., vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 2467-2477, May 2011.
- [99] B. Grosman et al., "Zone model predictive control: A strategy to mini-1200 1201 mize hyper- and hypoglycemic events," J. Diabetes Sci. Technol., vol. 4, 1202 pp. 961-975. Jul. 2010.
- B. Kovatchev et al., "In Silico preclinical trials: a proof of concept 1203 [100] 1204 in closed-loop control of type 1 diabetes," J. Diabetes Sci. Technol., 1205 vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 44-55, Jan. 2009.
- 1206 [101] K. Kumareswaran et al., "Closed-loop insulin delivery: Towards improved diabetes care," Discov. Med., vol. 13, no. 69, pp. 159-170, Feb. 1207 1208 2012.
- 1209 [102] R. Hovorka et al., "Manual closed-loop insulin delivery in children and 1210 adolescents with type 1 diabetes: A phase 2 randomised crossover trial," 1211 The Lancet, vol. 375, pp. 743-751, Feb. 2010.
- 1212 [103] R. Hovorka et al., "Overnight closed loop insulin delivery (artificial pan-1213 creas) in adults with type 1 diabetes: Cross over randomized controlled 1214 studies," BioMed J., vol. 342, p. 1855, Apr. 2011.
- B. Kovatchev et al., "Multinational study of subcutaneous model-1215 [104] 1216 predictive closed-loop control in type 1 diabetes mellitus: summary of 1217 the results," J. Diabetes Sci. Technol., vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 1374-1381, 1218 2010
- 1219 [105] W. L. Clarke et al., "Closed-loop artificial pancreas using subcutaneous glucose sensing and insulin delivery and a model predictive con-1220 1221 trol algorithm: the Virginia experience," J Diabetes Sci. Technol., vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 1031-1038, 2009. 1222
- 1223 [106] P. A. Bakhtiani et al., "A review of artificial pancreas technologies 1224 with an emphasis on bi-hormonal therapy," Diabetes Obes. Metab., 1225 vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 1065-1070, Apr. 2013.
- 1226 [107] S. Del Favero et al., "Multicenter outpatient dinner/overnight reduction of hypoglycemia and increased time of glucose in target with a 1227 1228 wearable artificial pancreas using modular model predictive control in 1229 adults with type 1 diabetes," Diabetes, Obes. Metab., vol. 17, no. 5, nn. 468-476, 2015. 1230
- 1231 [108] P. Keith-Hynes et al., "DiAs user interface: a patient-centric interface 1232 for mobile artificial pancreas systems," J. Diabetes Sci. Technol., vol. 7, 1233 no. 6, pp. 1416-1426, 2013.
- 1234 [109] S. D. Patek et al., "Modular closed-loop control of diabetes," IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng., vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 2986-2989, Nov. 2012. 1235
- J. R. Castle et al., "Novel use of glucagon in a closed-loop system 1236 for prevention of hypoglycemia in type 1 diabetes," Diabetes Care, 1237 1238 vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 1282-1287, Mar. 2010.
- 1239 [111] G. Lanzola et al., "Designing an artificial pancreas architecture: the AP@ home experience," Med. Biol. Eng. Comput., to be published. 1240
- 1241 [112] C. Zhang et al., "Variant of transcription factor 7-Like 2 (TCF7L2) gene and the risk of type 2 diabetes in large cohorts of U.S. women and 1242 men," Diabetes, vol. 55, no. 9, pp. 2645-2648, Sep. 2006. 1243
- 1244 [113] K. Hojlund et al., "Proteome analysis reveals phosphorylation of ATP 1245 synthase beta -subunit in human skeletal muscle and proteins with potential roles in type 2 diabetes," J. Biol. Chem., vol. 278, no. 12, 1246 1247 pp. 10436-10442, 2003.
- Y. Horikawa et al., "Genetic variation in the gene encoding calpain -[114] 1248 10 is associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus," Nat. genetics, vol. 26, 1249 1250 pp. 163–175, Oct. 2000.
- 1251 [115] R. C. W. Ma et al., "Familial young - onset diabetes, pre - diabetes and 1252 cardiovascular disease are associated with genetic variants of DACH1 in 1253 chinese," Plos One, vol. 9, Jan. 2014.
- 1254 [116] K. Gokulakrishnan et al., "Subclinical inflammation/oxidation as re-1255 vealed by altered gene expression profiles in subjects with impaired 1256 glucose tolerance and Type 2 diabetes patients," Mol. Cell. Biochem., 1257 vol. 324, pp. 173-181, Apr. 2009.
- 1258 [117] F. J. Ortega et al., "Profiling of circulating MicroRNAs reveals common MicroRNAs linked to type 2 diabetes that change with insulin sensitiza-1259 1260 tion," Diabetes care, vol. 37, pp. 1375-1383, May 2014.
- 1261 [118] J. M. Locke et al., "Increased expression of miR - 187 in human islets 1262 from individuals with type 2 diabetes is associated with reduced glucose - stimulated insulin secretion," Diabetologia, vol. 57, pp. 122-128, Jan. 1263 1264 2014
- [119] C. Ling and L. Groop, "Epigenetics: A molecular link between environ-1265 1266 mental factors and type 2 diabetes," Diabetes, vol. 58, pp. 2718-2725, Dec. 2009. 1267
- [120] S. E. Pinney and R. A. Simmons, "Epigenetic mechanisms in the de-1268 1269 velopment of type 2 diabetes," Trends Endocrinol. Metab., vol. 21, 1270 pp. 223-229, Apr. 2010.

- [121] V. Kameswaran et al., "Epigenetic regulation of the DLK1 MEG3 1271 MicroRNA cluster in human Type 2 diabetic islets," Cell Metab., vol. 19, 1272 pp. 135-145, Jan. 2014. 1273
- [122] J. R. Bain et al., "Metabolomics applied to diabetes research: Moving 1274 from information to knowledge," Diabetes, vol. 58, pp. 2429-2443, Nov. 1275 2009. 1276
- [123] F. Du et al., "Metabolomic analyses for atherosclerosis, diabetes, and 1277 obesity," Biomarkers Res., vol. 1, p. 17, 2013. 1278
- [124] M. Y. Donath and S. E. Shoelson, "Type 2 diabetes as an inflammatory 1279 disease," Nat. Rev. Immunol., vol. 11, pp. 98-107, Feb. 2011. 1280
- [125] J. Koehn and K. Krapfenbauer, "Advanced proteomics procedure as a 1281 detection tool for predictive screening in type 2 pre - Diabetes," EPMA 1282 J., vol. 1, pp. 19-31, Mar. 2010. 1283
- [126] R. Wang-Sattler et al., "Novel biomarkers for pre diabetes identified 1284 by metabolomics," Mol. Syst. Biol., vol. 8, p. 615, 2012. 1285
- [127] I. Padberg et al., "A new metabolomic signature in type 2 diabetes 1286 mellitus and its pathophysiology," Plos One, vol. 9, p. e85082, Jan. 1287 2014. 1288
- [128] X. Zhao et al., "Metabonomic fingerprints of fasting plasma and 1289 spot urine reveal human pre - diabetic metabolic traits," Metabolomics, 1290 vol. 6, pp. 362-374, Sep. 2010. 1291
- [129] W. Bao et al., "Predicting risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus with ge- 1292 netic risk models on the basis of established genome-wide association 1293 markers: A systematic review," Amer. J. Epidemiol., vol. 178, no. 8, 1294 pp. 1197-1207, Sep. 2013. 1295
- [130] A. Janssens et al., "Predictive testing for complex diseases using multiple 1296 genes: Fact or fiction?," Genetics Med., vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 395-400, Jul. 1297 2006. 1298
- [131] R. Bellazzi, "Telemedicine and diabetes management: Current challenges 1299 and future research directions," J. Diabetes Sci. Technol., vol. 2, no. 1, 1300 pp. 98-104, Jan. 2008. 1301
- [132] O. Amft, "Automatic dietary monitoring using on-body sensors: De- 1302 tection of eating Behaviour in healthy individuals," Ph.D. Disser- 1303 tation, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich, Switzerland, 1304 2008. 1305
- [133] O. Amft et al., "Detection of eating and drinking arm gestures using in- 1306 ertial body-worn sensors," presented at Int. Symp. Wearable Computers, 1307 Osaka, Japan, Oct. 18-21, 2005. 1308
- [134] O. Amft et al., "Analysis of chewing sounds for dietary monitoring," 1309 in Proc. Int. Joint Conf. Pervasive Ubiquitous Comput., Tokyo, Japan, 1310 2005, pp. 56-72. 1311
- [135] J. Cheng et al., "Activity recognition and nutrition monitoring in every 1312 day situations with a textile capacitive neckband," presented at the Int. 1313 Joint Conf. Pervasive Ubiquitous Computing, Zurich, Switzerland, Sep. 1314 8-12, 2013. 1315
- [136] B. Zhang and S. Horvath, "A general framework for weighted gene co 1316 expression network analysis," Stat. Appl. Genetics Mol. Biol., vol. 4, 1317 Aug. 2005. 1318
- [137] Z. J. Dong et al., "Inference of Gene Regulatory Networks from Genetic 1319 Perturbations with Linear Regression Model," Plos One, vol. 8, Dec. 1320 2013 1321
- et al., "A multivariate regression approach to associa- 1322 [138] S. Kim tion analysis of a quantitative trait network," Bioinformatics, vol. 25, 1323 pp. I204–I212, Jun. 2009. 1324
- [139] N. Friedman et al., "Using Bayesian networks to analyze expression 1325 data," J. Comput. Biol., vol. 7, pp. 601-620, 2000. 1326
- [140] Z. Huang et al., "Large scale regulatory network analysis from mi- 1327 croarray data: Modified Bayesian network learning and association rule 1328 mining," Decis. Support Syst., vol. 43, pp. 1207-1225, Aug. 2007. 1329
- Y. Bengio, "Learning deep architectures for AI," Found. Trends Mach. 1330 [141] Learn., vol. 2, pp. 1-127, Nov. 2009. 1331
- [142] G. E. Hinton and R. R. Salakhutdinov, "Reducing the dimensionality 1332 of data with neural networks," Science, vol. 313, pp. 504-507, Jul. 1333 2006. 1334
- [143] T. A. Lasko et al., "Computational phenotype discovery using unsu- 1335 pervised feature learning over noisy, sparse, and irregular clinical data," 1336 Plos One, vol. 8, p. e66341, Jun. 2013. 1337
- et al., "Deep architectures and deep learning in 1338 [144] A. Lusci chemoinformatics: The prediction of aqueous solubility for drug- 1339 like molecules," J. Chem. Inf. Model., vol. 53, pp. 1563-1575, Jul. 1340 2013. 1341
- [145] J. Zhou and O. G. Troyanskaya, "Deep supervised and convolutional 1342 generative stochastic network for protein secondary structure prediction," 1343 J. Mach. Learn. Res., vol. 32, Mar. 2014. 1344